

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 15-25 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-12 and 15-20 are amended. The amendments to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, are supported by Applicants' specification at least at, page 11, lines 8-20. Claims 5, 9-12 and 15-20 are amended to correct informalities. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of the application based on the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 9-12 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. Claims 9-12 and 15-20 are amended to obviate this rejection.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 9-12 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, are respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-3, 5-8, 21-25 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,249,281 to Chen et al. (hereinafter "Chen"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 recite, among other features, the plural pieces of still picture data correlated with the video data are stored with keyword searchable data for each still picture. Chen teaches at, *e.g.*, col. 5, lines 22-30, that a searchable database of on-demand presentations is maintained, the stored presentations being categorized in an index allowing users to manually search for presentations on a particular topic and that a presentation search engine may be included, allowing users to search for a presentation by entering search terms in a keyword search field 820. Chen does not teach that any slides are searchable by a search engine or that any slides can be keyword searched individually.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Chen cannot reasonably be considered to teach the combinations of all of the features positively recited in claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8. Further, Chen


cannot reasonably be considered to teach, the combinations of all of the features recited in claims 3, 7 and 21-25 for at least the dependence of these claims on allowable base claims, as well as for the separately patentable subject matter that each of these claims recites.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-8 and 21-25 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Chen are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-3, 5-12 and 15-25 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Daniel A. Tanner, III  
Registration No. 54,734

JAO:MIL/mcp

Attachment:  
Petition for Extension of Time

Date: May 22, 2009

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 320850**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p><b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
---